

CINCINNATI, OH 45224

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 7355 8638 07/13/2001 Christopher Philip Bewick-Sonntag 09/905,804 EXAMINER 06/21/2004 27752 7590 ANDERSON, CATHARINE L THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161 3761 6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE

DATE MAILED: 06/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/905,804	BEWICK-SONNTAG ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
•		3761
The MAILING DATE of this comm	C. Lynne Anderson unication appears on the cover sheet with	
Period for Reply		
after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this or If the period for reply specified above is less than thirt If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximur Failure to reply within the set or extended period for re	UNICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re ommunication. y (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty n statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT sply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABA hs after the mailing date of this communication, even if tin	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. "HS from the mailing date of this communication. NNDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on .	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	2b) This action is non-final.	
/ _	on for allowance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the pra	ctice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-65</u> is/are pending in th	s/are withdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a	re: a) accepted or b) objected to b	by the Examiner.
	bjection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) included the control of the control o	ling the correction is required if the drawing(
The ball of declaration is objected	to by the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action of John 170-102.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
2. Certified copies of the prior3. Copies of the certified copiesapplication from the Internal		oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) D Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	• —	ummary (PTO-413)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 Paper No(s)/Mail Date)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) ·

Application/Control Number: 09/905,804

Art Unit: 3761

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-11 and 20-65, drawn to an article of manufacture, classified in class 604, subclass 385.01.
- II. Claims 12-19, drawn to a method of capturing a discharge,classified in class 604, subclass 317.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the method of capturing a discharge may be carried out using an absorbent article that does not include extensible regions.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Application/Control Number: 09/905,804

Art Unit: 3761

Claims 1-11 and 20-23 are drawn to Species I, an absorbent article that has undergone a caliper change.

Claims 24-64 are drawn to Species II, an absorbent article that has undergone needle punching.

Claim 65 is drawn to Species III, a kit comprising an absorbent article.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added.

An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the

Application/Control Number: 09/905,804

Art Unit: 3761

inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Ingrid Hickman on 11 June 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to C. Lynne Anderson whose telephone number is (703) 306-5716. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Calvert can be reached on (703) 305-1025. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3761

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

cla

June 14, 2004

JOHN CALVERT
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700